




2021-22-STUDENT FEEDBACK



2021-22-STUDENT FEEDBACK



2021-22-STUDENT FEEDBACK



M.Ed. STUDENT FEEDBACK REPORT (2021-22)

There was total 8 students gave feedback conducted by IQAC via online mode. Feedback was taken on 15 components and responses were to be given in five-point scale (Below average, average, good, very good, excellent). The detailed feedback report is described below:

1) The course content provides sufficient knowledge and skills about the course?

Under this component only one student has opinioned below average, no students have responded to average scale, two students have opinioned good for this component, four students have responded to very good and the remaining one student has opinioned excellent for this component.

2) The course is well structured, interesting, relevant and up to date?

For this component no student has responded to below average, one student has responded to average, one student has opinioned for good, five students have responded to very good and one student has opinioned for excellent for this component.

3) The unit/sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced?


For this component no student has responded for below average, one student has opinioned to average, no students have opinioned for good, four students have responded to very good and remaining three students has opinioned for excellent.

4) The course content is adequate in relation to the expected Course Outcomes (Cos)?

For this component no student has opinioned to below average, no student has responded to average, four students have responded to good, two students have responded for very good and remaining two students have responded to excellent.

5) The allocation of the credits to the course is appropriate in relation to the level of course contents?

Under this component no student has responded for below average, one student has responded to average, two students have opinioned to good, two students have


Dr. Rashmi Jain
Head, IQAC
Department of Education
Hansraj College, University of Delhi
New Delhi

responded to very good and three students have responded to excellent for this component.

6) The Course is useful in the terms of knowledge, concept, vocational skill, analytical ability and broadening perspective?

For this component one student has responded to below average, no student has responded to average, one student has responded to good, two students have opinioned to very good and remaining four students has responded to excellent.

7) The requisite learning is adequate for this course?

For this component one student each has responded to below average, no student has responded to average, one student has responded to good, three students have responded to very good respectively and remaining three students has responded to excellent.

8) The Course is relevant to the Specialization and to the technological advancements?

For this component one student have responded to below average, no student has responded to average, one student has responded to good, four students have responded to very good respectively and remaining two students has responded to excellent.

9) Availability of study material in library and E content?

For this component one student have opinioned to below average, one student has responded to average, one student has opinioned to good, three students have opinioned to very good and remaining two students have opinioned to excellent.

10) Level of experimental study/teaching in the Vishwavidyalaya.

For this component one student has responded to below average, no student has responded to average, one student has responded to good, five students have students has responded to very good the remaining one student has responded to excellent.

Handwritten signature: Anil
Dr. Rashmi Jain
Head
Department of Education
Gobind Vishwavidyalaya, Sahar (M.P.)
मुख्य शिक्षिका और विद्याविभाग संस्थापक

- 11) **Delivery of course content in organised manner as per syllabus with case studies/ demo models and completion of Course on time by Course coordinator.**

For this component zero student has responded to below average, one student has responded to average, one student has opinioned for good and six of students has responded to very good and no student has responded to excellent.

- 12) **Classes are interesting, interactive, participatory via activity/outcome-based learning?**

For this equal no student, one has responded to below average, one student has responded to average and one student has responded to good, five students has responded to very good and remaining one student has responded to excellent component.

- 13) **Course coordinator is knowledgeable, honest, sincere, cordial, enthusiastic, energetic, and appreciable?**

For this component no student has opinioned to below average and average respectively, four students has responded to good, three students have responded to very good and remaining one student has responded to excellent.

- 14) **Transparency towards evaluation of answer scripts/assignments by course faculty?**


For this component no student has responded to below average and average respectively. Three students each has responded to good and very good respectively and remaining two students has responded to excellent component.

- 15) **Encouragement for self-learning / self-studies by course?**

For this component no student has responded to below average and average respectively, two students each has responded to good and very good respectively and the remaining four students have responded to excellent.

B.A.Ed. STUDENT FEEDBACK REPORT (2021-22)

There was total 249 students who gave feedback conducted by IQAC via online mode. Feedback was taken on 15 components and responses were to be given in five-point scale (Below average, average, good, very good, excellent). The detailed feedback report is described below:


Dr. Rashmi Jid
Head of Department
Department of Education
Doctor Haris' High Gour V. St. waidyasa, Sagar (M.P.)
संस्कृत विश्वविद्यालय सगर, म.प्र.

1) The course content provides sufficient knowledge and skills about the course?

Under this component only four students have opinioned below average, six students have responded to average scale, twenty-four have students have opinioned good for this component, nineteen students have responded to very good and the remaining seventeen student has opinioned excellent for this component.

2) The course is well structured, interesting, relevant and up to date?

For this component three student has responded to below average, six students have responded to average, twenty-one students have opinioned for good, twenty-seven students have responded to very good and the remaining thirteen student has opinioned for excellent for this component.

3) The unit/sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced?



For this component two student each has responded for below average and average respectively. Twenty -two students have opinioned for good; thirty students have responded to very good and remaining fourteen students has opinioned for excellent.

4) The course content is adequate in relation to the expected Course Outcomes (Cos)?

For this component six student has opinioned to below average, eleven students have responded to average, twenty-three students have responded to good, eighteen students have responded for very good and remaining twelve students have responded to excellent.

5) The allocation of the credits to the course is appropriate in relation to the level of course contents?

Under this component four students have responded for below average; six students have responded to average, seventeen students have opinioned to good, twenty-five students have responded to very good and the remaining eighteen students have responded to excellent for this component.


Dr. Kashmi Jain 
Head
Department of Education
Doctor Harisingh Gour Vishwavidyalaya, Sagar (M.P.)
हरीशंकर गौर विश्वविद्यालय सागर (म.प्र.)

6) The Course is useful in the terms of knowledge, concept, vocational skill, analytical ability and broadening perspective?

For this component five student each has responded to below average and average respectively. Twenty-six students have responded to good; twenty students have opinioned to very good and remaining fourteen students has responded to excellent.

7) The requisite learning is adequate for this course?

For this component two student has responded to below average, seven students have responded to average, twenty-two students have responded to good, twenty-one students have responded to very good respectively and remaining eighteen students has responded to excellent.

8) The Course is relevant to the Specialization and to the technological advancements?

For this component four students have responded to below average, eight students have responded to average, twenty-five students have responded to good, twenty-one students have responded to very good respectively and remaining twelve students has responded to excellent.

9) Availability of study material in library and E content?


For this component twelve students have opinioned to below average, fourteen students have responded to average, twenty-one students have opinioned to good, fifteen students have opinioned to very good and remaining eight students have opinioned to excellent.

10) Level of experimental study/teaching in the Vishwavidyalaya.

For this component eight students have responded to below average, ten students have responded to average, twenty-four students have responded to good, eighteen students have students has responded to very good the remaining ten student has responded to excellent.

11) Delivery of course content in organised manner as per syllabus with case studies/ demo models and completion of Course on time by Course coordinator.

For this component eleven student has responded to below average, six students have responded to average, twenty- one student has opinioned for good,


Dr. Rashmi Jain
Head, Department of Education
Vishwavidyalaya, Sagga (M.P.)

seventeen of students has responded to very good and the remaining fifteen student has responded to excellent.

12) Classes are interesting, interactive, participatory via activity/outcome-based learning?

For this equal nine student one has responded to below average, eight student has responded to average and twenty student has responded to good, eighteen students has responded to very good and remaining fifteen student has responded to excellent component.

13) Course coordinator is knowledgeable, honest, sincere, cordial, enthusiastic, energetic, and appreciable?

For this component six student have opinioned to below average and seven students has responded to average, fifteen students have responded to good, twenty-five students have responded to very good and remaining seventeen students has responded to excellent.

14) Transparency towards evaluation of answer scripts/assignments by course faculty?

For this component seven student has responded to below average eight students have responded to average, sixteen students each has responded to good. Twenty-two students have responded to very good and the remaining seventeen students has responded to excellent component.


15) Encouragement for self-learning / self-studies by course?

For this component four student each have responded to below average and average respectively, seventeen student each has responded to good a, twenty-four students has responded to very good respectively and remaining twenty-one students have responded to excellent.

B.Sc.B.Ed. STUDENT FEEDBACK REPORT (2021-22)

There was total 66 students who gave feedback conducted by IQAC via online mode. Feedback was taken on 15 components and responses were to be given in five-point scale (Below average, average, good, very good, excellent). The detailed feedback report is described below:

1) The course content provides sufficient knowledge and skills about the course?


Dr. Rashmi Jadhav
Head, IQAC
Department of Education, Government College
Dhule, Maharashtra - 431201
Doc No: HNS/2021/22/001/001

Under this component two students have opinioned below average, four students have responded to average scale, twenty-six have students have opinioned good for this component, twenty-five students have responded to very good and the remaining nine student has opinioned excellent for this component.

2) The course is well structured, interesting, relevant and up to date?

For this component two student has responded to below average, twelve students have responded to average, twenty-one students have opinioned for good, twenty students have responded to very good and the remaining eleven student has opinioned for excellent for this component.

3) The unit/sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced?

For this component six student each has responded for below average and average respectively. Nineteen students have opinioned for good, sixteen students have responded to very good and remaining nineteen students has opinioned for excellent.

4) The course content is adequate in relation to the expected Course Outcomes (Cos)?


For this component six student has opinioned to below average, nine students have responded to average, twenty-three students have responded to good, twenty students have responded for very good and remaining eight students have responded to excellent.

1) The allocation of the credits to the course is appropriate in relation to the level of course contents?

Under this component three students have responded for below average; four students have responded to average, twenty-one students have opinioned to good, twenty-four students have responded to very good and the remaining fourteen students have responded to excellent for this component.

2) The Course is useful in the terms of knowledge, concept, vocational skill, analytical ability and broadening perspective?

For this component two student has responded to below average, ten students have responded to average, nineteen students have responded to good, twenty-one students have opinioned to very good and remaining fourteen students has responded to excellent.


Dr. Rashmi Jain डॉ. राशि जैन
Dr. Rashmi Jain डॉ. राशि जैन
Department Head विभागाध्यक्ष
Department of Education शिक्षण विभाग
Dr. Rashmi Jain, P. O. Jyoti, Vidya Vihar, Jyoti, Vidya Vihar, Jyoti, Vidya Vihar

3) The requisite learning is adequate for this course?

For this component four student has responded to below average, seven students have responded to average, twenty students have responded to good, twenty -two students have responded to very good respectively and remaining thirteen students has responded to excellent.

4) The Course is relevant to the Specialization and to the technological advancements?

For this component three students have responded to below average, seven students have responded to average, twenty-seven students have responded to good, seventeen students have responded to very good and remaining twelve students has responded to excellent.

5) Availability of study material in library and E content?


For this component twelve students have opinioned to below average, thirteen students have responded to average, twenty-three students have opinioned to good, nine students have opinioned to very good and remaining nine students have opinioned to excellent.

6) Level of experimental study/teaching in the Vishwavidyalaya.

For this component eleven student has responded to below average, ten students have responded to average, twenty-three students have responded to good, fifteen students have students has responded to very good the remaining seven student has responded to excellent.

7) Delivery of course content in organised manner as per syllabus with case studies/ demo models and completion of Course on time by Course coordinator.

For this component eight student has responded to below average, ten students have responded to average, twenty- two student has opinioned for good, eighteen of students has responded to very good and the remaining eight student has responded to excellent.



Dr. Rashmi Jain डॉ. रश्मि जैन
Head विभागाध्यक्ष
Department of Information Technology विभाग
विभागाध्यक्ष, विभागाध्यक्ष, विभागाध्यक्ष
विभागाध्यक्ष, विभागाध्यक्ष, विभागाध्यक्ष

8) Classes are interesting, interactive, participatory via activity/outcome-based learning?

For this equal six student one has responded to below average, twelve students have responded to average and twenty-six students has responded to good, sixteen students have responded to very good and remaining six students has responded to excellent component.

9) Course coordinator is knowledgeable, honest, sincere, cordial, enthusiastic, energetic, and appreciable?

For this component six student have opinioned to below average eight students have responded to average, eighteen students have responded to good, twenty-five students have responded to very good and remaining nine students has responded to excellent.

10) Transparency towards evaluation of answer scripts/assignments by course faculty?

For this component two student has responded to below average, ten students have responded to average, twenty-four students each has responded to good, nineteen students have responded to very good and the remaining eleven students has responded to excellent component.

11) Encouragement for self-learning / self-studies by course?

For this component three student each have responded to below average, four students have responded to average, twenty-three student each has responded to good, twenty-six students have responded to very good respectively and remaining ten students have responded to excellent.

Dr. Rashmi Jain डॉ. राशमी जैन
Dr. Rashmi Jain डॉ. राशमी जैन
Head विभागाध्यक्ष
Department of Education विभाग शिक्षण (विभाग)
Doctor Harisingh Chavhan Vidyalaya, Saga (MH)
अध्यक्ष हरेशिंह चौधरी विद्यालय सागा (महाराष्ट्र)